



# The County of El Dorado

Chief Administrative Office

Procurement & Contracts Division  
Phone (530)621-5830 Fax (530)295-2537

**Date:** April 21, 2021

**To:** All Prospective Proposers

**Re:** RFP #20-961-081  
Construction Support Services for Mosquito Road Bridge at South Fork American River  
Questions & Answers – 1

Questions regarding the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) were received on or by April 14, 2021. The questions that the County has deemed appropriate and relevant with their answers are listed below:

Item	Questions and Answers
1.01	<p><b>Question:</b> Will the project Special Provisions and Environmental Permits be made available?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>Yes, the Special Provisions and Environmental Permits have been attached to the link provided in the RFP documents</i></p>
1.02	<p><b>Question:</b> Will Foundation Reports also be made available for review?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>Yes, the Foundation Reports have been attached to the link provided in the RFP (and shown below):</i></p> <p><a href="https://sftp2.edcgov.us/public/folder/w-uwbesvPUiyMriiBRYumA/SM031921%20Mosquito%20Bridge%20Project%20CM%20RFP">https://sftp2.edcgov.us/public/folder/w-uwbesvPUiyMriiBRYumA/SM031921%20Mosquito%20Bridge%20Project%20CM%20RFP</a></p>
1.03	<p><b>Question:</b> Can the County provide the 90% quantities for the project?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>The 90% quantities can be seen in the DRAFT schedule of values (or proposal document) included with the Special Provisions attached to the link provided in the RFP documents (and shown above). It should also be noted that additional quantity item breakdowns can be seen in the various quantity tables in the plans (i.e.</i></p>

	<i>drainage quantity tables, RSP quantity tables, structure items quantity tables, etc.).</i>
<b>1.04</b>	<p><b>Question:</b> Can the County share what portion of the QA lab work is anticipated to be completed by the consultant lab?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>The County is planning to perform most QA lab work for the project to include most compaction testing, verifying mix designs, and concrete compressive strength tests, but will not be performing most specialty tests. RFP proposals should include estimated costs to perform specialty testing and inspection needs and include contingency costs to support all the base QA lab work (i.e. verifying mix design requirements, concrete breaks, etc.) for the project. While it is anticipated that the County will self-perform much of the base QA lab work, the additional budget provides an “as-needed” contingency for use.</i></p>
<b>1.05</b>	<p><b>Question:</b> Regarding the requested SOW, is the expectation that it be a detailed scope or a high-level description?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>At this point of the process, the expectation is that the SOW is a high-level description that provides enough basis for approximate scope and cost proposals (especially within the context of the attachment documents provided in the referenced RFP link). It is anticipated that after initial review of received proposals, top scoring proposals will receive additional interviews and discussion to further refine expectations including scope details. The final SOW will be the culmination of the initial SOW with any additional refinements or negotiations that occur during the process.</i></p>
<b>1.06</b>	<p><b>Question:</b> Please confirm that resumes are only required for the six key staff roles identified in the RFP’s CM Consultant notes document.</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>Resumes are required for the Structure Specialist and the Structures Inspection. While resumes are not required for the additional “as-needed” staff roles to include: the office engineer, surveyor, lab testing, and scheduler, at a minimum some statement details of qualifications and experience would be appreciated in the proposal.</i></p>
<b>1.07</b>	<p><b>Question:</b> Can an exception to the 8.5x11 page size requirement be made to accommodate the cost proposal? Can the cost proposal be laid out on 11x17? Also, in the proposal, is there any allowance for one 11x17 sheet?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>Yes, 11x17 sheets may also be used in the proposal, especially in cases when it may be easier to communicate the details (as in cost proposals).</i></p>
<b>1.08</b>	<p><b>Question:</b> Is the final proposal package required to be delivered via USPS/UPS/FedEx or can the final proposal package be hand delivered?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>No the final proposal package is NOT required to be delivered via USPS/UPS/FedEx, as final proposals may also be hand delivered to the Procurement and Contracts Division at 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA 95667</i></p>

<p><b>1.09</b></p>	<p><b>Question:</b> Is the role of Environmental Monitor/Biologist included in the scope of the CM consultant contract?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>No, the Environmental Monitor/ Biologist is not included in the scope of the CM consultant contract (this role is covered under a separate contract).</i></p>
<p><b>1.10</b></p>	<p><b>Question:</b> Does the County anticipate the need for public outreach services as part of the CM consultant contract?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>No, public outreach services are planned to be performed by the County.</i></p>
<p><b>1.11</b></p>	<p><b>Question:</b> Is there an opportunity to provide a Statement of Qualifications package to the County of El Dorado to be considered for the QA portion of this project or, has that selection already been made?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>Statement of Qualifications (SOQ's) are encouraged to be provided for the QA portion of the project with the submitted RFP proposals. This work will be evaluated as part of the submitted RFP proposal for the applicable roles and functions of the proposal.</i></p>
<p><b>1.12</b></p>	<p><b>Question:</b> Are 11"x17" pages permitted if they are folded to 8.5"x11"?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>Yes, 11x17 pages are permitted with the proposal documents. If possible, please fold the 11x17 pages to an 8.5x11 equivalent.</i></p>
<p><b>1.13</b></p>	<p><b>Question:</b> Per RFP section 4.10 we are to provide a cost proposal. But per RFP section 3.1 and the additional file "Mosquito-Consultant CM Backup" it states the Office Engineer is an "as-needed" position and "may be utilized on a near full time basis...however hours of use may vary as determined by project and RE resource support needs." Please clarify and provide direction on what the County requires in the cost proposal for Office Engineer? Rate per hour? Cost proposal for a set number of hours per month? If so, please state the number of months and the hours per month, full time or part time?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>Cost proposals for "as-needed" positions (to include the Office Engineer, Scheduler, Surveyor, etc.) should be based on full utilization of hours as projected by the supplied project schedule and details. For the Office Engineer position, full utilization may be projected as 580 working days (estimated contract duration), plus approximately 40 working days (contingency for additional working days (CCO's), preparatory work, and closeout) at 8 hours per day or approximately 4,960 hours. Since this is an "as-needed" position, it is anticipated that the estimated cost will be a "not to exceed" budget of hours for a supplemental or contingency item.</i></p>
<p><b>1.14</b></p>	<p><b>Question:</b> Per RFP section 4.10 we are to provide a cost proposal. But per RFP section 3.1 and the additional file "Mosquito-Consultant CM Backup" it states the Surveyor is an "as-needed" position and "...it is expected that this project will require extensive surveying needs...". Please clarify and provide direction</p>

	<p>on what the County requires in the cost proposal for Surveyor? Rate per hour for crew and office support? Cost proposal assuming staking for entire superstructure? If so, please state the number of months and the hours per month? Cost proposal assuming staking for entire project?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>Similar to question 1.13 (above) the Surveyor is an “as-needed” position with costs estimated based on “full surveying utilization” needs (or assuming staking of the entire project). This would assume estimated staking costs for all project staking needs (with field office support). Since this is an “as-needed” position, it is anticipated that the estimated cost will be a “not to exceed” budget of hours for a supplemental or contingency item.</i></p>
<p><b>1.15</b></p>	<p><b>Question:</b> Per RFP section 4.10 we are to provide a cost proposal. But per RFP section 3.1 and the additional file “Mosquito-Consultant CM Backup” it states “as-needed materials testing and source inspection” and Lab Testing and testing needs “depending on EDC staff availability and other project demands. It also anticipated that additional specialty testing will be needed...” Please clarify and provide direction on what the County requires in the cost proposal for Lab Testing? Rate per test? If per test please provide which tests? Rate per hour per tester?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>Similar to questions 1.04, 1.13, and 1.14 (above) the Lab Testing is an “as-needed” position with costs estimated based on performing all specialty tests (or tests other than compaction testing, concrete compressive strength testing, mix design verification tests, etc.). Additionally, estimated costs should also include contingency for performing approximately 75% of the base “QA Lab tests” to include concrete compressive strength testing, mix design verification tests, etc. Since this is an “as-needed” item, it is anticipated that the estimated cost will be a “not to exceed” budget based on the costs of the tests performed and tester hours for a supplemental or contingency item.</i></p>
<p><b>1.16</b></p>	<p><b>Question:</b> Per RFP section 4.10 we are to provide a cost proposal. But per RFP section 3.1 and the additional file “Mosquito-Consultant CM Backup” it states the Scheduler is an “as-needed” position “based on project demands, availability and progress”. Please clarify and provide direction on what the County requires in the cost proposal for Scheduler? Rate per hour? Cost Proposal assuming the full duration of the project? Full time or part time?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> <i>Similar to the other “as-needed” position questions above, the Scheduler should have costs estimated based on performing all scheduling needs. This is not anticipated to be a full time position but would include periods of utilization such as during the beginning of the project (reviewing the initial CPM), approaching key project milestones, and monitoring monthly updates for the full duration of the project. These utilization periods may be estimated based on approximate hours of utilization (with contingency hours). Since this is an “as-needed” item, it is anticipated that the estimated cost will be a “not to exceed” budget based on hours for a supplemental or contingency item.</i></p>

Thank you for your interest and participation.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Michele Weimer". The signature is written in a cursive style.

Michele Weimer  
Procurement and Contracts Manager/  
Purchasing Agent